LANKFORD, COFFIELD & REED, P.L.L.C.

120 North Saint Asaph Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Telephone: (703) 299-5000

FACSIMILE: (703) 299-8876

V. Thomas Lankford, Jr. Terrance G. Reed William F. Coffield Robert K. Moir

February 14, 2006

601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 900, South Building Washington, D.C. 20004

VIA Facsimile 212-805-7968 The Honorable Kenneth Karas, United States District Judge United States District Court for The Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 920 New York, New York 10007

Re: Ello v. Singh, Case No. CV 9625

Dear Judge Karas:

I am writing on behalf of the defendants in Ello v. Singh, Case No. CV 9625, including my client the Laborers International Union of North America and Mr. Pocino, and the other defendants (the "Funds"). We have received from plaintiff a copy of an amended complaint on January 25, 2006. Both we and the Fund Defendants believe that there still remain grounds for seeking leave to dismiss the amended complaint, but we understand from the Court's prior rulings that it wishes to address the plaintiff's pending motion for disqualification of defense counsel prior to addressing the defendants' prior request for leave to file a motion to dismiss the original complaint. Based upon the Court's prior comments and orders, we assume, therefore, that it would be premature for defendants to file a response to the amended complaint until this Court resolves the pending disqualification motion directed against defense counsel. If the Court, however, desires defendants to respond to the amended complaint, the same defense counsel would be filing another letter request asking for leave to seek dismissal of the amended complaint.

If we have misunderstood the Court's preference to address the conflict issue first before addressing the amended complaint, we would appreciate having notice of an appropriate deadline for responding to the amended complaint.

Defendants are correct: the time to answer or otherwise re-pond to the complaint is staxed until

Respectfully submitted,

representation is resolved. SO ORDERED

Counsel for Defendants LIUNA and Raymond Pocino individually and in his official capacity as a LIUNA

officer